## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BERKLEY SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

No. 4:19-CV-01162

Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,

(Judge Brann)

v.

MASTERFORCE CONSTRUCTION CORP., et al.,

Defendants-Counterclaim Plaintiff,

## **ORDER**

## **JANUARY 26, 2021**

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Berkley's motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 30) is **GRANTED**;
- 2. Masterforce's countermotion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 34) is **DENIED**;
- 3. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, and in favor of Counterclaim Defendant and against Counterclaim Plaintiff;
- 4. Berkley has no continued duty to defend Masterforce or any other party in *Brandt v. Masterforce Construction Corp.*, *et al.*, docketed before the

Court of Common Pleas of Clinton County at Docket No. 659-2014 or in any appeal thereof, and has no duty to indemnify any party named in that matter;

- 5. Masterforce's counterclaims are **DISMISSED**; and
- 6. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/Matthew W. Brann</u>Matthew W. BrannUnited States District Judge